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## Quantum mechanics

Quantum superposition:<br>"A particle is in multiple places at once."

## Quantum mechanics



Fig. 1: Map of our region


Fig. 2: An atom with 1 electron.

From far away, we can point to the one location of Lake Tahoe, and the electron.

## Quantum mechanics



Fig. 3: Me and my dog in a lake. Fig. 4: An atom, close-up.

Up close, "point to the exact position" doesn't make sense.

## Quantum mechanics



Fig. 5: Me and my dog not in a lake.

## no electron $\mathcal{\jmath}$



Fig. 6: Not where the electron is.
... but there are definitely wrong answers.

## Wavefunctions



Before measuring position


After measuring position

Fig. 7: Wavefunctions of a particle.
"Wave-particle duality" $\rightarrow$ "Wave-‘more pointy wave' duality"

## What is a "measurement"?

Roughly: anytime something "big" depends on what the quantum object is doing.
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## More than just "where a particle is"

Anything you can measure about a particle behaves this way!
For simplicity, look at measurements with only two options:
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## What determines the result?

## More than one quantum object

Particle \#1



Result of measurement

Particle \#2



## More than one quantum object

Particles \#1 and \#2


Result of measurements

## More than one quantum object

Particles \#1 and \#2


This is quantum entanglement---the outcomes are connected.

## $\operatorname{con}$ Cutars

## What is a computer?



Instagram: ads with occasional pictures of your friends


Google Maps: ads along with directions to beer

## Computers
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At a low level, a computer is just a fancy calculator

## Computers

## What is a computer?



Uses physical systems (electricity in tiny wires, tiny magnets on a disk, etc.) to store data and do math on it

## Computers

## What is a computer?



Those physical things represent bits: values that can be 0 or 1

## Computers

## What is a computer?



What if we replaced those tiny physical pieces with something quantum?
Quantum bits $\rightarrow$ "qubits"

## Quantum computing: hacking the lottery

We have our hands on the code behind the lottery: takes in a number, and computes the payout!
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Goal: find the one number that gives " $\$ 1,000,000$ "

> Regular ("classical") computer

Best strategy: ... just try every number
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## Quantum computing: hacking the lottery

We did the calculation, now let's look at the results!! And we get...


Quantum input $\rightarrow$ quantum output!
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## Quantum computing: hacking the lottery

Goal: find the one number that gives " $\$ 1,000,000$ "

bar height = prob. of
seeing that result $\square$
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## Why aren't we doing this right now

## Major difficulty \#1: quantum computations are fragile



If anything interacts into the qubits, the computation breaks!
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## Some hope: exponential speedups
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## Some hope: exponential* speedups



## Quantum



Classical

Challenge: bigger quantum computations $\rightarrow$ more fragile

## What quantum computers can do

## Current state of the art:

For an extremely specific set of calculations, the best quantum computers can probably beat a classical supercomputer.

For most useful tasks, they don't beat the computer chip in my toaster.

## Summary of quantum speedups

| Task | Theoretical <br> Speedup | Can we do it in 2022? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Searching (lottery) | Somewhat faster | Too small and fragile |
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## Summary of quantum speedups

| Task | Theoretical <br> Speedup | Can we do it in 2022? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Searching (lottery) | Somewhat faster | Too small and fragile |
| Factoring numbers | Much faster | Too small and fragile |
| Machine learning | Not clear (and depends on <br> what you're doing) | Too small and fragile |
| Chemistry calculations | Not clear (and depends on <br> what you're doing) | Too small and fragile |
| Certain quantum <br> mechanics problems | Exponentially faster, <br> depending on the problem | Experiments seem to have <br> beaten regular computers |

## Side note: factoring

The security of basically the entire internet relies on factoring (and related problems) being hard.


[^0]
## Features of current quantum computers

- Slow
- Small
- Extremely error prone
- Algorithms are thought to be better than regular computers... for a few very specific problems
- We don't know the limits of their capabilities yet!


## The future of quantum computing



A quantum laptop? Probably not.

rent-a-quantum.com

10100111100
11010110011
11101100
10011000
$\square \square$
Quantum cloud service? Probably!

## Trusting quantum computers

Q: Why can't you trust atoms?
A: Because they make up everything!

## Trusting quantum computers

Q: Why can't you trust atoms?
A: Because they make upeverything!

If regular computers can't solve the problem, how do we check that the answer is right?

## Trusting quantum computers

Just checking if it's working: check all of the special cases you can find


The 53-qubit processor Google used to show the first "quantum advantage"

## Trusting quantum computers

Just checking if it's working: check all of the special cases you can find

## nature

Explore content $\checkmark$

```
nature > articles > article
Article | Published: 23 October 2019
Quantum supremacy using a programmable
superconducting processor
Frank Arute, Kunal Arya, ... John M. Martinis }\\mathrm{ + Show authors
Nature 574, 505-510 (2019)| Cite this article
923k Accesses | 2207 Citations | 6222 Altmetric | Metrics
```


## Trusting quantum computers

Thursday, August 4, 2022 Today's Paper

## The New Hork times



To be clear, this is not a real headline. I made it up.
How do we verify the results of a quantum computer we don't trust?

## Some problems are easy to check!

Factoring



Multiplication


## Some problems are easy to check!

Factoring
58592674796345200961477663

Multiplication


## What about the problems that aren't?

Demo: proving that you can distinguish colors

## Summary

- Quantum computers are faster, but in subtle ways and only for specific problems
- Current quantum computers are small, slow, and error-prone
- Rapidly improving, and looking for new apps
- We can use clever tricks to check the answers!


## Thank you!!


[^0]:    What you get if you search the web for "quantum hacker"

